St. Louis Judge Balked At Testifying, Report Says

STEEDER

By Gerald M. Boyd

re-election chances, a report House committee on assassinations, arguing that the appearance would have no value and could damage his to avoid testifying before a special Post-Dispatch Washington Buresu
WASHINGTON — St. Louis
Judge Murry R. Randall attempted

Committee on Assassinations. The committee examined the assassinations of President John F. Kennedy and the Rev. Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. released today says.

Randall's reluctance to be a witness was disclosed in the final report of the House Select

several Missourians were said to have offered \$50,000 to kill King. One who said he received the offer was Russell G. Byers, a St. Louis automobile parts dealer, Byers said he told Randall of the offer before Randall was made a judge.

The House report says Randall Randall had been sought as a witness in the investigation of the "St. Louis conspiracy," in which

spoke to staff counsel, committee investigators, the chairman of the committee and another member of Congress in an attempt to avoid testifying. "When Randall learned that

be might be subpoensed to testify before the committee in public

expressing concern over the effect the publicity could have on his re-election to the bench," the report session he attempted to avoid an appearance, arguing that his testimony would be of no value and

when the judge finally appeared November, his testimony raised serious doubts on the validity of November,

Byers' report, the document said.

Randall said that Byers could have concocted the payment story and then used it to determine whether anyone he told it to was an informant for the Federal Bureau of investigation. Byers had made the claims to an informant and they were passed on to the FBI. An FBI memor andum detailing the information was mistilled and went unnoticed for four years, finally being discovered last year during an FBI review of Byers' file.

The committee report speculates that Randall offered the theory about the informant to "undermine

(his) own testimony in order to dicourage the committee from compelling his public appearance."

The committee also concludes that Randall erred when he testified that he had applien only once to Byers. The committee says that Byers was accurate in saying there had been two conversations.

By Gerald M. Boyd King Report Mentions Imperial Man

Post-Dispatich Washington Bursau
WASHINGTON — A segregationist
lawyer from Imperial, Mo., probably
inspired James Earl Ray to kill Dr.
Martin Luther King Jr., a House
committee has concluded, although it
could not directly link the Missourian to

Ray.

The report, made public today by the Select Committee on Assassinations, confirmed the chief conclusion of other investigations — that James Earl Ray fired the single rifle shor that killed King in Memphis, Tenn., on April 4, 1988.

But the report also concludes that the

King assassination and that of President John F. Kennedy were the result of separate conspiracies. The committee said it had been unable to discover a direct link between Ray and the Imperial man who the committee said had offered \$9,000 to kill King. Nevertheless, the committee believed a

onspiracy existed.

The most plausible link, it said, was a tavern in south St. Louis, called the Grapevine, owned in early 1988 by John Larry Ray, brother of James Earl Ray. The tavern, in the 1900 block of Arsenal Street, was used as a local distribution point for literature and other

the offer. The man, the committee believes, was John H. Sutherland, a St. Louis patent lawyer who had been scrive in the Wallace campaign and in the White Citizens Council.

One home, used as a Wallace headquarters, was only about 100 feet from John Ray's tavern. Viola Anderson, now dead, lived in the home, was active in the Wallace campaign and occasionally went into the tavern, the report said. She also had contact with Sutherland in the Wallace campaign.

But that is as close as the committee can come to connecting Sutherland to

Ray.

The report says: "In the South Side area, race relations and the civil rights movement were subjects of daily, and increasingly polarized, debates. And on the national scene, King was conducting highly visible campaigns against such issues as poverty and the Vietnam War."

The committee found it reasonable to believe that with an increase in the intensity of the St. Louis American Independent Party campaign effort, and

paraphernalia related to Alabama Gov. George Wallace's 1968 presidential

campaign.

The report said the tavern was the likely place that John Larry Ray first learned that an Imperial man had made

the heightened visibility of Dr. King, discussion of the Sutherland offer could well have come to James Ray's attention," the report said.

"This possibility was only strengthened by Sutherland's heavy involvement in American Independent Parry effort in St. Louis."

The primary evidence that Sutherland was involved is the testimony of Russell G. Byers, a Rock Hill man who says Sutherland offered him \$50,000 to kill King. Byers said that an Imperial riced, John R. Kauffnam, had taken him to Sutherland's skine, where the offer was made, but turned

The committee discussed other possible links between Sutherland and Ray, which it said were plausible, but less likely than the Grapevine

— That an offer might have reached James Earl Ray through John Paul Spica, who then was serving time with Ray in the Missouri State Peniteetiary

and was Byers' brother-in-law. The report said there was no evidence to

contradict denials by Byers and Spica.

That a medical officer at the prison, Dr. Hugh Maxey, might have passed on the offer, Maxey was a freen of Kauffmann and had contact with Ray, who worked at the prison begrial. The report said that no evidence, however, could be found that Kauffmann and Maxey discussed criminal activities.

That an employee at the link Mrs. Regazzi shusband knew Spica and Byers and thus could have mentioned the payment. The committee said it did not believe that the man, Robert Regazzi, knew of the offer of passed information on his wife.

The committee, conceding that only circumstantial evidence was developed, said, "It is unfortunate that this information was not developed in 1968."